

Fifth Circuit Court of Appeal State of Louisiana

No. 26-KH-25

DERMAINE NORMAN

versus

STATE OF LOUISIANA

IN RE DERMAINE NORMAN

APPLYING FOR SUPERVISORY WRIT FROM THE FORTIETH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT, PARISH OF ST JOHN THE BAPTIST, STATE OF LOUISIANA, DIRECTED TO THE HONORABLE J. STERLING SNOWDY, DIVISION "C", No. 12-337

TRUE COPY

February 09, 2026



LINDA TRAN
DEPUTY CLERK

Panel composed of Judges Fredericka Homberg Wicker,
Scott U. Schlegel, and Timothy S. Marcel

WRIT DENIED

Relator, Dermaine Norman, seeks review of the district court's November 14, 2025, order denying his application for post-conviction relief (APCR) as untimely under La. C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. For the following reasons, we deny relator's writ application.

As an initial matter, we find relator's application deficient in that he failed to provide documentation of a return date with his writ application as required by Uniform Rules – Courts of Appeal, Rule 4-3; nevertheless, in the interests of justice and judicial economy, we will consider the instant writ application.

Procedural Background

On October 12, 2016, a jury found relator guilty of conspiracy to commit second degree murder; he was adjudicated a third-felony offender and sentenced to thirty-three years imprisonment at hard labor. This Court affirmed his conviction and sentence on

December 18, 2019. *State v. Norman*, 18-723 (La. App. 5 Cir. 12/18/19), 287 So.3d 778. On July 2, 2020, the Louisiana Supreme Court granted relator's writ application and remanded the matter to the trial court to ascertain whether the verdict was unanimous. *State v. Norman*, 20-109 (La. 7/2/20), 297 So.3d 738. On October 27, 2020, the trial court confirmed that the verdict was unanimous in a *per curium* to the Louisiana Supreme Court. On February 17, 2021, the Louisiana Supreme Court denied relator's writ application. *State v. Norman*, 20-109 (La. 2/17/21), 310 So.3d 1149.

District Court Ruling and Claims

On November 12, 2025, relator filed his APCR with the district court raising claims of a non-unanimous jury verdict, ineffective assistance of counsel, and vindictive prosecution. On November 14, 2025, the district court denied relief "in accordance with La. C.Cr.P. art. 930.8."

Timeliness Under La. C.Cr.P. art. 930.8

In the instant writ application, relator argues that the district court erred in dismissing his APCR as untimely. He argues his APCR is timely because his conviction purportedly became final on December 19, 2023, when the Louisiana Supreme Court denied writs in *State v. Norman*, 22-1524 (La. 12/19/23), 374 So.3d 981, and no rehearing was sought. Based on this, he claims that his APCR filed on November 12, 2025, was within the two-year limitation period set by La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. On review, we find relator's reliance on this later disposition date is misplaced. The record shows that the December 2023 writ disposition followed this Court's post-conviction ruling on relator's request for an out-of-time appeal. At that time, relator's conviction and sentence had already been final since February 2021, when the Louisiana Supreme Court denied writs in *State v. Norman*, 20-109 (La. 2/17/21), 310 So. 3d 1149. See La. C.Cr.P. art. 922.

La. C.Cr.P. art. 930.8(A) provides in pertinent part: "No application for post conviction relief, including applications which seek an out-of-time appeal, shall be considered if it is filed more than two years after the judgment of conviction and sentence has become final." Under La. C.Cr.P. art. 930.8, the prescriptive period does not initially begin to run until the judgment of conviction and sentence has become final, and relator's November 12, 2025, APCR-filed nearly four years after February 17, 2021- exceeds the two-year limitation period. We further find that relator does not identify any statutory exception under La. C.Cr.P. art. 930.8 to this time bar, and the record suggests none. And finally, relator's non-unanimous jury verdict claim is unfounded because the record confirms the verdict was unanimous and relator fails to provide any legal support to the contrary.

Accordingly, we find no error in the district court's determination that relator's APCR is untimely under La.C.Cr.P. art. 930.8. The writ application is denied.

Gretna, Louisiana, this 9th day of February, 2026.

TSM
FHW
SUS

SUSAN M. CHEHARDY
CHIEF JUDGE

FREDERICKA H. WICKER
JUDE G. GRAVOIS
MARC E. JOHNSON
STEPHEN J. WINDHORST
JOHN J. MOLAISSON, JR.
SCOTT U. SCHLEGEL
TIMOTHY S. MARCEL

JUDGES



FIFTH CIRCUIT
101 DERBIGNY STREET (70053)
POST OFFICE BOX 489
GRETN, LOUISIANA 70054
www.fifthcircuit.org

CURTIS B. PURSELL
CLERK OF COURT

SUSAN S. BUCHHOLZ
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

LINDA M. TRAN
FIRST DEPUTY CLERK

MELISSA C. LEDET
DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL STAFF

(504) 376-1400
(504) 376-1498 FAX

NOTICE OF DISPOSITION CERTIFICATE OF DELIVERY

I CERTIFY THAT A COPY OF THE DISPOSITION IN THE FOREGOING MATTER HAS BEEN TRANSMITTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH **UNIFORM RULES - COURT OF APPEAL, RULE 4-6** THIS DAY **02/09/2026** TO THE TRIAL JUDGE, THE TRIAL COURT CLERK OF COURT, AND AT LEAST ONE OF THE COUNSEL OF RECORD FOR EACH PARTY, AND TO EACH PARTY NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL, AS LISTED BELOW:

CURTIS B. PURSELL
CLERK OF COURT

26-KH-25

E-NOTIFIED

40th District Court (Clerk)
Honorable J. Sterling Snowdy (DISTRICT JUDGE)
Bridget A. Dinvaut (Respondent)

MAILED

Dermaine Norman #413225 (Relator)
Rayburn Correctional Center
27268 Highway 21
Angie, LA 70426